Part II

NEW PERSPECTIVES ON PELLÉAS ET MÉLISANDE
Mélisande’s Charm and the Truth of Her Music

Jann Pasler

We have often thought of Debussy’s Pelléas et Mélisande as radically new. Scholars have tended to concentrate on how the work points to the future of French opera, whether through its relationship with Wagner or its embrace of symbolist theater. This essay, in contrast, situates the opera in the context of French musical traditions and tries to shed light on why Debussy may have seen himself as “un musicien français.” I do not examine his interest in Rameau, but rather what he learned at the Conservatoire and how he built on his predecessors’ operas, particularly music still in repertoire in 1902 that audiences would have been able to compare with Pelléas et Mélisande. In 1888, the year Debussy finished his last year in Rome and submitted La Damoselle élue as his third and last envoi to the Académie des Beaux-Arts, the minister of public instruction and fine arts Gustave Larroumet gave an important speech at the Paris Conservatory. While reminding students of the “importance of their art” and their “duty” to uphold France’s greatness in the world, he defined explicitly what they were to aspire to: charm and elegance as preeminent qualities of the French spirit. How Debussy responded set the foundation for some of his most profound innovations.

Learning Musical Charm

Many consider charm to be something superficial—the antithesis of the metaphysical, something rooted in the flesh as opposed to the mind or the spirit, enabling escape from everyday concerns to the physical rather than the sublime or the transcendental. In women charm has served as a tactic for seeking social mobility or escaping male domination. During the Second Empire under Napoléon III, French intellectuals such as Emile Zola denounced as decadent lives based on leisure and enjoyment of feminine charms such as
those immortalized in the music of Offenbach. But after the siege of Paris in 1870, the French defeat to the Prussians in 1871, the loss of their land, and especially the blow to their Gallic pride, some French began to rethink conventional notions of weakness and strength. Charm could be a means of exploring the inherent strength of the feminine. The subject of Camille Saint-Saëns’s first tone poem, *Le Rouet d’Omphale*, written during this turmoil in 1870 and 1871, is “feminine seduction,” which the program defines as “the triumphant struggle of weakness over force.” The work shows how the musical charms of Omphale could subdue the forceful Hercules. Many meanings were possible. At the time Hercules could connote the working class forced into bondage by the weak effeminate government of Second Empire monarchists, the country itself too lax as Germany attacked, the socialist monster feared by bourgeois republicans, or German strength and virility—something the French both envied and feared. Since republicans considered artistic beauty a metaphor for an ideal social order, we need to rethink not just the musical, but also the political and social meaning the French associated with charm and its importance in their identity. Charm could serve a political purpose.

If the French public wanted to reflect on and learn about the nature and power of charm, what better place than through music. In 1884 Debussy’s piano teacher at the Conservatory, Antoine Marmontel, published a book of essays on musical aesthetics in which he defined music as the “gift of charming and affecting people.” He explains, “Music acts directly on our senses to speak to the soul, but its aim is not to transmit precise and distinct ideas, rather to give sensations, to establish a current of feelings from the soul of the composer to that of the listener,….to unite in the same feeling the hearts of all listeners vibrating in unison.” For Marmontel it was the “vague and the indefinite” in music that defined its charm. Saint-Saëns too described charm as a crucial aspect of music. It is that which “insinuates itself in the veins and penetrates all the way to the heart.” It is the essence of music’s power that causes the listener to be receptive to the music and whatever it is communicating. As such, charm enacts music’s beauty and contributes to its social utility.

Learning to express seduction and enchantment by a beloved’s voice, one of the most prevalent themes in nineteenth-century French opera, was a critical aspect of a young composer’s training at the Conservatory. Texts about the power of charm and using the language of charm characterized many cantata libretti that young composers set to music in preparation for the Prix de Rome competition, their final public exercise at the Conservatoire. *Endymion*, first used in the 1885 Rome competition, was the most popular libretto in the 1880s and early 1890s. This story of a handsome shepherd in love with Diane was used in exams by student composers eight times from 1887 to 1893. Not much takes place in it, but composers got to practice setting “Your enchanting voice makes me drunk and caresses me.” Many of the female characters in the
Prix de Rome libretti are seductresses or women vulnerable to seduction whose primary value lies in their feminine charm. Antony curses his partner in Cléopâtre (1890) for “enchaining” his soul, using her “charms” to surprise him. Raymondin struggles “against the charms” of the beauty in Mélusine (1896). In Myrrha (1901) the “dear and blond enchantress,” a Greek slave, has a “sweet and conquering power” over the king. Often these women foreground the importance of the voice as the source of their charm and the key to eliciting desire in others. They enact this charm in a romantic duo in which the lovers come into closer intimacy and feel ecstasy, that is, loss of self. A third character often represents the call of religion or duty, thereby introducing conflict or collusion.

One of Debussy’s student works, Diane au bois, begun before competing for the Prix de Rome in 1883, concerns the character Diane from the myth of Endymion. The extant portion of Debussy’s score consists of the duo in which Eros seduces Diane, taken from the ending of a play by Théodore de Banville. Guiraud advised Debussy to put it aside until after he had finished his studies, and with the freedom of working in Rome the composer returned to it as a possible first envoi. Banville’s story appealed precisely because it did not resemble those normally used in cantatas and called for music that escaped the conventions of love duos. Although attracted by the sound of his flute, Diane, “pure and white,” tries to resist Eros. After momentarily admitting “I love you,” she implores, “Leave, I hate myself... forget all this.” Resisting the musical equivalent for feminine seduction so enjoyed by Massenet and other French composers, Debussy sought for Diane and her “saintly coolness” “a phrase of a beautiful coolness that arouses no idea of passion.” When she confesses her unhappiness (on an augmented second), he hushes the orchestra into silence for two long measures. When the two characters begin to express their mutual love only to triangulate, pointing to the drunkenness of nature, the “shadow and odor of the leaves,” Debussy has them sing together in parallel thirds. Trying to convey “the sensation of something lived,” a Diane who only over time “loses her strength,” the composer withholds the move into unison on “I love you” until the last two measures. Scholars have pointed out that this work, albeit never completed, served as an important predecessor to his later music.

That Debussy began his first opera, Pelléas et Mélisande, with the love scene and duo at the end of Act IV suggests the influence of his Conservatoire training and his interest not in seduction, but in the irresistible nature of love. When he had finished a first draft of it in September 1893, Debussy wrote his friend, the composer Ernest Chausson, aghast at the result: “That’s not it at all. This resembles the duo of Monsieur Un Tel or anybody, and especially the ghost of old Klingsor, alias Wagner. So I tore it up and began to look for a little chemistry of more personal phrases.” Significantly, he continues, “I’ve tried to be as
much Pelléas as Mélisande.”12 The first audiences for the opera responded most to this duo. One critic observed that Pelléas and Mélisande sing as “passionate lovers, thank God, and not like cantors reciting a perpetual mass in plain chant,”13 perhaps a reference to the rest of the opera. Debussy’s experience in writing cantatas at the Conservatory also gave him practice in creating drama with minimal means and, most of all, in letting the voices and their words be heard clearly. The Académie demanded this. Sometimes he used simple declamation unaccompanied or over static oscillating patterns in a reduced orchestra, rarely song covered over with dense orchestration, as in Wagner.

Whereas the Endymion story concerns the woman’s weakness in love, the stereotype of the exotic woman provided French composers with a significantly more compelling context in which to ponder the nature and meaning of musical charm as enacted on men and the societies they represent. This brought a new complexity of meaning to French music. In 1892, as Debussy was just beginning to ponder writing his first opera, two important premieres at the Opéra took Paris by storm: Ernest Reyer’s Salammbô in May and Saint-Saëns’s Samson et Dalila in November. Both were composed in the 1870s, when the French were exploring the merits of strong women resembling Judith, women who use their charms for a political purpose: to conquer their enemies. To engage her seductive charms and her “puissance enchanteresse,” Dalila destabilizes Samson with music that is reminiscent of the “weakness,” the chromatically descending tritone he uses to symbolize his anguish. “To enslave” Samson and “enchain” him to herself, she musically links the memory of his “caresses” with her idea of love. Starting where he left off singing “I love you,” she goes on to entreat him in one of the most powerful moments of the opera, “Respond to my tenderness, give me ecstasy,” symbolized musically by three interlocking chains of chromatically descending lines that end on a tritone. When Samson moves into unison with her at the end of the stanza this is the surrender that loves calls for—Samson’s music follows Dalila’s, the man loses his will to the woman’s. The public was taken in by the charm of this romantic duo, particularly the irresistible intertwining of the two voices—a musical emblem of sex. Sixty-one performances followed in two years and the work was still in the Opéra’s repertoire in 1902.14

Also in 1892 Debussy’s composition teacher, Ernest Guiraud, died while working on his masterpiece for the Paris Opéra, Frédégonde, later finished by Saint-Saëns and Dukas. This opera, based on the barbarism, ferocity, and power struggles of rival Frankish aristocrats in sixth-century France, has two seductive women, Frédégonde and Brunnhilde, representing two competing dynasties. Civil war between eastern and western France erupted from their mutual aversion. Like Dalila, foregrounding her seductive powers and “bewitching” nature, Frédégonde uses her charms with a purpose. In their love
The kind of woman who interested Debussy had roots in a more naïve kind of French exoticism and in charms that involved no overt manipulation. In some ways Mélièsande is like Mignon, star of the ever popular opéra-comique (1866) by Ambroise Thomas, director of the Conservatoire between 1871 and 1896. When Mignon introduces herself, she reveals that she is from “a far-away country,” her origins as unclear as those of Maeterlinck’s Mélièsande, who comes by boat from a foreign land. Both are beyond time and place, and yet white Europeans. As the male characters point out, Mignon is the embodiment of “grace and charm.” She is also innocent, modest, and, above all, naïve. Recalling Arkel’s way of speaking to Mélièsande, the men around Mignon constantly refer to her as a “poor child” who implicitly calls on men (and God) to protect her. Like Mélièsande, she seems resigned to her fate, but she is also
fearful, a bit cold and illusive to those around her. Of course the stories of these operas are not similar. Golaud is hardly like Wilhelm, the protector. Mignon is nostalgic for her homeland; Mélisande, as Elliott Antokoletz has argued, is traumatized and numb. Mignon is proto-bourgeois; Mélisande may be an aristocrat, for she once notes that she lost her crown. Still both are characters from adaptations of northern European literary works, difficult to build a drama around, and both represent an ideal, albeit not the same ideal. Debussy certainly knew Mignon, although I am not suggesting that he consciously meant to reference it. Between 1866 and its thousandth performance on May 13, 1894, Mignon never left the repertoire of the Opéra-Comique and was performed there an average of thirty-five times a year. Throughout 1902 it alternated with performances of Pelléas, at first earning more receipts per month than Pelléas, but beginning that June earning substantially less than Pelléas.

The allure of the simple, the mysterious, and especially the foreign in Debussy’s opera also comes from the singing and the vocal writing, that is, how singers used their voices to embody the characters. This too has a long history in France. References to Mignon’s success note that great singers who knew how to act used the “grace and tenderness” of their voices to suggest those of Mignon. Célestine Galli-Marié’s “sweet and supple” voice was praised for translating Mignon’s character perfectly. In her rendition of the role in London, Christine Nilsson was admired for taking Mignon’s character to heart so much that audiences could not tell where she left off and Mignon began. Critics abroad also emphasized the “purity” with which this role was sung, this purity perhaps a reference to the vocal timbre required to sing this music. This kind of close identification of singer and subject drew listeners into the work, encouraging a deep connection with its characters.

Other French composers too composed with a certain kind of voice in mind for their main female characters, even a certain individual. Because they associated a singer’s vocal quality with the character being portrayed, composers often played an important part in casting decisions. Saint-Saëns and Reyer conceived their works Samson et Dalila and Salammbô for strong and powerful voices, Pauline Viardot and Rose Caron, both with a tone capable of suggesting tragedy. At the suggestion of his librettists, after hearing her perform Mignon Delibes conceived Lakmé for an American singer, Marie Van Zandt, who subsequently paraded the opera around Europe, becoming associated with it. In composing Thais Massenet had in mind the naïve sound of another young American, Sybil Sanderson. The effect of these voices was crucial to understanding the opera, often the essence of the story represented. Even if Debussy had made good contacts in the world of opera singers, he did not want to call on the powerful voice of Gabrielle Krauss, who had premiered his 1883 cantata, Le Gladiateur, and later become famous for her performances of Gluck. Neither did he envisage Rose Caron, who in 1884 had premiered his prize-winning cantata, L’Enfant prodigue,
and later Reyer’s Salammbô at the Opéra in 1892. Instead, for the role of Mélisande he first thought of the singer who premiered his Damoselle élue, Julia Robert. The conductor André Messager’s choice of his protégée, Mary Garden, a Scottish singer trained in the United States, turned out to be just as appropriate. She was petite, with a sweet voice resembling that of a child, and most of all had an accent, adding a strangeness that, as with Van Zandt and Sanderson, the French found particularly appealing. Debussy wrote to Messager, “One would have to have one’s ears stuffed with toilet paper to resist the charm of her voice. For my part, I can’t conceive of a timbre more sweetly insinuating . . . impossible to forget.” The second Mélisande at the reprise of the opera in 1908 featured another singer with an accent, the American Maggie Teyte. Valorizing this accent (and a story about a castle near the sea) may have been a subtle means of signifying the distant past of France, whose racial origins many linked with the Celts, a people who lived in Brittany as well as the British Isles.

As in Lakmé, Les Pêcheurs de perles, and most other operas in France that featured exotic women, we first hear these women’s voices before we see them. The seduction begins while they are hidden behind veils or, in the case of Mélisande, in the shadows. In their beauty and idealism these women cause “dreaming” in the listener, as if the recognition that something illusory, but deeply human, is happening through the music. Composers of Orientalist operas help the process along by placing the characters near water, having them sing near it or about it as if it can reflect and tell us about their beauty. Act I of Les Pêcheurs de perles is set at the beach. Allusions to water, especially springs, also signal the erotic, especially young love. In Lakmé the chorus of “amorous couples” encourages Lakmé and Gerald to come down to the “source,” with its “quiet murmuring” and “pure water” awaiting them. Salammbô too, as she anticipates seeing Mathô, sings of the “pure waves of the fountains” to the accompaniment of oscillating arpeggios and trills. In Thais Athanaël stops at the water and descends into the well immediately before their love duet. In Debussy’s opera both Golaud and Pelléas meet Mélisande near the sea. In their love duo Pelléas waxes lyrical for the first time as he feels her voice rain on him like pure water.

Many Orientalist opera librettos treat voices as the source of other kinds of power or the medium for other voices. In Samson et Dalila it is the voice of God (speaking through Samson); in Lakmé, that of duty (speaking through the soldiers or the priests) and of love (sung by the “amorous couple” near a forest); in Salammbô it is other-worldly voices who remind the virgin of her patriotic calling. In each case these voices are presented as if omniscient and infallible. Those who hear them, whether they are imaginary or invisible, are to heed what they say. Especially when veiled or off stage, the voices can also be a metaphor for the voice of the composer. They suggest that, as the veiled Leila exhorts her people in Les Pêcheurs de perles, we should “listen to [his] voice.”
But in *Pelléas et Mélisande* we first hear Mélisande crying, not singing. When she confesses her love in Act IV, it is in a barely audible whisper. Her vocal lines remain as close as possible to actual speech, following the flux of feelings in the moment and keeping any lyrical expression to an absolute minimum. Lydia Goehr sees this absence of what we normally think of as singing as a negation of the work’s exteriority, marking Debussy’s most significant departure from Wagner. As in *La Damoselle élue*, outer stillness hides inner drama, more often expressed in the orchestra than by the singers. Danger in the opera, unlike in those with other exotic women, does not come from Mélisande’s voice, but from the sounds of nature that herald the arrival of Golaud and the fate of the lovers.

This gesture, I would argue, is not just a reaction against Wagner. In some ways it recalls *Mignon*. When Wilhelm asks her about her name, her age, and her parents, Mignon responds that she doesn’t know in a series of repeated $C\#s$, alone and accompanied by a violin, suggesting her lack of voice as well as touching simplicity (ex. 3.1). Music here is meant to translate character. That which accompanies the laughter and exuberant vocalises of her counterpart Philine often includes leaping octaves, grace notes, and virtuoso showmanship and borrows rhythms of the polka and waltz, giving musical form to Philine’s seductive playfulness, pride, and self-confidence. Mignon’s mezzo voice and more restrained melodic lines suggest her modest, pious, affectionate, and docile nature as well as her touching sadness. Mignon is a desiring female, but not an overtly seductive one.

Mignon’s charm, like that of Mélisande, is of a different nature than Philine’s, not only veiled and discreet, but also what the French called “douce-amère,” a lyrical sensibility in which the character seems close to tears. It finds its fullest expression in what French critics called a “déclamation épurée”: declamation without ornament, refined, sober, expressive, and true. They focused on the “presque rien” of this passage, for it seemed to capture the essence of music as well as of Mignon. Ernest Reyer called it the effect of a “science rentrée,” or how Thomas used his considerable knowledge and skill to produce the appearance of simple grace. Reyer explains, “The most exquisite compositional work hides under the most charming grace, a tenderness, a charm, a poetry that enchants you from the beginning.” Some of Debussy’s writing can be described similarly, particularly vocal lines with few pitches and bare, if any, orchestral accompaniment. Already in 1866, stripping melody of ornaments, rejecting conventional ways of communicating dramatic sentiment, and seeking a truer form of expression were seen as new and modern. Seeking musical phrases that were natural and in close relationship with real human situations, melody that was “less lyrical” than Italian melody, “less elevated and penetrating” than German melody, but “more human,” as those of *Mignon* were described, were goals Debussy shared with Ambroise Thomas.
If there is seduction in Pelléas, it begins in the visual domain. Hearing Mélisande’s voice is not enough for Golaud. He must see her. After seeing her, he remarks on her beauty. Mélisande tells Pelléas she has loved him since she first saw him. Pelléas says he must see her one last time before leaving and judges her feelings by her eyes, demanding, “Where are your eyes?” and accusing, “Your eyes seemed somewhere else.”
moment in Act IV he admits he’d never seen someone as beautiful as she. The seduction scene à la Roméo et Juliette takes place with the sight and touch of her hair. “Look, look, I’m kissing your hair,” Pelléas tells Mélisande. Golaud too, when frustrated and demanding her secret, expresses himself by pulling on her hair, a physical emblem of her sexuality in the tradition of Baudelaire. In the only performance of the play, however, the whole thing was done behind gauze scrims with minimal decor, complicating the audience’s perception of the visual. What audiences saw was merely a suggestion rather than a depiction, a stimulus to the imagination.

By contrast, at the production of the opera the decor and costumes were much more realistic—“d’une beauté romantique,” as critics noted—and the rapid and numerous backdrops for each scene were important in keeping the audience’s attention alert, preventing them from getting bored. Some, who were not taken with the music, said the sets’ beauty was compensation worth the performance—which may have been the director’s intention. Still, whereas one might think the realism of these sets could contradict the mystery of the characters’ lives, in a way the sets contributed to one of the points of the opera: outer beauty is little in and of itself without inner beauty, which usually remains hidden.

Of course Debussy wanted Mélisande to charm audiences into looking behind the veils she wraps around herself, as he once explained to Chausson. However, it is not just her voice that interests him, or even its beauty. As in many French operas on exotic subjects, her voice is a medium of truth. Truth for many late nineteenth-century French, especially Freemasons, meant moral truth, truths that both regulate and inspire. In late nineteenth-century France republicans, many of whom were Freemasons, believed that music giving voice to truth gives rise to a taste for order and beauty in life as well as in art. Some even considered “expressive truth” as the “first quality” of French music. Debussy may not have agreed with this association of truth with moral truth, and some critics who saw the opera as decadent claimed that listening to it would ruin one’s character, not improve it. Still expressive truth is very important in Pelléas et Mélisande. In his book on aesthetics Debussy’s teacher Marmontel wrote, “The first law of the beautiful in the arts is la vérité d’expression, the idealized but also living and true manifestation of a thought, a passion.” By this he meant the accuracy of the declamation, the expressive character of the sung words, “la parole chantée,” the movement and action, all essential elements of the opera.

Rousseauian connotations of this word aside, Marmontel calls transparency the “essence” to which a young French musician should “cling as a soldier to his flag.” Where transparency differs from French notions of clarity is in its function as the medium of not just language, but of truth. Yet finding the truth
in Maeterlinck’s play is not straightforward in any way that music can unveil. Golaud recognizes Mélisande’s beauty immediately upon seeing her. However, even if he is constantly asking her questions, he never learns her truth. She dies, and he himself fears dying a blind man. Even when it comes to Pelléas and Mélisande, they do not always tell the truth, such as in their claims of feeling love at first sight. Truth, like much of life itself, the opera suggests, hides in obscurity, ungraspable and difficult to know. Much of the play juxtaposes the clear and the obscure, as if what is visible is only part of life. As with the fountain at the beginning of Act II that could reputedly heal the eyes of the blind and now has gone still, a constant analogue exists in the text between seeing and hearing, blindness and silence.

The Truth of Silence

Like the play’s producer, Lugné-Poë, who dreamed of creating a theater that would link poetry and silence, Debussy wrote to Chausson, “I’m using something that is quite rare, silence as a means of expression and perhaps the only way to underline the emotion of an phrase.” In Le Trésor des humbles, Maeterlinck had written, “True life is made of silences.” Silence is the “refuge of our souls,” the place where souls are most free. It is “the angel of supreme truths.” Maeterlinck believed that “all silences are different,” and that the “quality” of shared silences “reveals the quality of your love and your souls.” Pelléas et Mélisande, both the play and the opera, suggests how “the quality” of silences, especially the first silence between two lovers, could determine the characters’ “destiny.”

Many of the silences that punctuate the musical flow in the opera are followed by unaccompanied voices, with the orchestra remaining silent, refusing expressive commentary. In example 3.2, Golaud wonders out loud, “I hear crying” (“J’entends pleurer”). We wonder: Whose crying? It is a girl by the water who doesn’t hear him. Who is he, we also wonder? Sounds uttered by the singers—Mélisande’s crying and a cough by Golaud, punctuated by pizzicato strings three measures before rehearsal number 7—also refuse musical expressivity. In the second instance of unaccompanied singing Golaud explains that he had been there forty years ago. Why, we ask ourselves?

In Act II, scene 1 (ex. 3.3a) Debussy holds back the orchestra when Pelléas asks Mélisande if Golaud met her at the fountain, then interrupts it when Mélisande becomes scared of something below the water, and again when she realizes that she dropped her ring (ex. 3.3b). In these cases the unaccompanied melodic line remains within a very restricted pitch range. Melodic stasis allows for clear enunciation of the words and underlines the dramatic immediacy.
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EXAMPLE 3.3. Debussy, Pelléas et Mélisande, Act II, scene 1. (a) Pelléas. (b) Mélisande.

Virtually all such occasions of orchestral restraint come at moments when the characters are asking questions, whether explicitly or implicitly, seeking the truth, perhaps to know their destiny. This tactic recalls the scene with Mignon when Wilhelm is trying to find out who she is. Another precedent, which some see as a source of this passage in Mignon, comes in Gounod’s Faust (ex. 3.4) when, on a single note in the garden scene, with little to no accompaniment,
Marguerite asks herself who has just addressed her, whether he is noble or not, and what his name is. In Debussy’s opera, however, such questions are rarely answered.

Example 3.4. Gounod, Faust, Marguerite, Act III.

When Mélisande asks Pelléas what they should tell Golaud if he notices the missing ring (ex. 3.5a), Pelléas responds, unaccompanied, “La vérité.” But the curtain falls, posing more questions than were answered. What is the truth here? Not just the truth about the ring, but why it was lost. What
is going on between the two characters? When they both quietly utter “I love you” and “Since when have you loved me? Since always” (exx. 3.5b and 3.5c), the revelations come out as strange, to them as well as to us. This kind of truth arises out of a certain “quality of silence” that suggests the “certitude” of their love, as Maeterlinck may have imagined. It also raises as many questions as it answers. Later Mélisande charms us with the illusive nature of her own paradoxical truth: “I’m happy, but I’m sad.”

EXAMPLE 3.5. Debussy, Pelléas et Mélisande. (a) Act II, scene 1. (b) Act IV, scene 3. (c) Act IV, scene 5.

In her long unaccompanied solo on her hair at the beginning of Act III, Maeterlinck and Debussy give us a rare window onto Mélisande as she contemplates her own beauty without benefit of orchestral commentary or orchestral enhancement (ex. 3.6). In some ways this dreamy oscillating lullaby recalls Ophelia’s mad song in Ambroise Thomas’s Hamlet. Both women, blond and fragile like tender flowers, celebrate their voices with music unlike elsewhere in the operas. Both do so at moments of discontinuity, as they look back over their lives, feeling strangely free. Both use music to enter a dream-like state, its instability suggested in the unsettlingly way Debussy sets “mes cheveux” both on and off the beat of the repeating folk-like melody. The off-stage chorus in Hamlet suggests the presence of divine voices, as if Ophelia thinks she is going to heaven. After a pause, marked by a fermata (a kind of halo), Mélisande, sounding almost like a trumpet with her dotted rhythms, directly invokes her patron saints, three archangels: Saints Daniel, Michel, and Raphael. These recall the angelic voices that Joan of Arc listened to, another reference to the French medieval past. Is


this also a presentiment of her death? The solo culminates in the strangest and most useless of revelations: “I was born on a Sunday, Sunday at noon.” As Catherine Clément points out, this is not just the Lord’s day, but also the hour of pagan madness in southern Italy. If Mélisande is a witch, as Clément suggests, and Ophelia mad, is it because they are women who evoke questions more than answers?

When the characters are anxious and impatient, Debussy exposes the questions at the heart of this drama in unaccompanied vocal lines, sometimes in narrow ranges restricted to one or two pitches, at other times in exposed dissonances, the vocal line passionately charged with diminished fifths. Golaud poses questions to elicit the truth from Mélisande as she is dying. Querying her crying, he outlines the tritone F–C♯. Then, pronouncing her name, he leaps down C–F♯ (ex. 3.7a). Pelléas, anxious to see Mélisande one more time, calls out to her in a short passage spanning a rising tritone, E–B♭ (ex. 3.7b). Whereas in an early work Debussy uses the tritone to set the word “pleasure,” here these tritones, with their two contradictory centers of gravity, suggest the contradictory feelings of Golaud...
and Pelléas, both desirous as well as intensely fearful of disappointment. Such passages, unadorned by orchestral accompaniment, allow us, along with the characters, to look for the truth not in clear expressive melodies, but in the emptiness and ambiguities of sound—Debussy’s “déclamation épurée”—as well as silence.

Debussy could have used moments of visual obscurity in the play to draw attention to his music. Instead, because he too was interested in the unseen as well as the unspoken, much of his opera—its unresolved harmonies (Willy), floating rhythms, sense of formlessness (Curzon), absence of perfect chords, and what some critics called its constant cloudiness (d’Harcourt)—seems to withhold any expectation of clarity. For the few moments when the mists clear or Debussy wants to suggest the impact of Mélisande’s beauty on Pelléas or Golaud, his music emerges from its shapeless tremoli and stately rhythms to form momentary musical arabesques, almost self-sufficient sine-wave curves with livelier rhythms. The first time Golaud exclaims “Oh, you are beautiful,” the orchestra reaches out with a harmonic caress in one such curving line. Ecstasy, as expressed by Pelléas’s intense and prolonged lyricism, is later presented as the feeling one has when the truth comes fully into the light. In some ways this duo is what listeners expected: it culminates with the lovers singing in unison for two measures, the music reaching a crescendo just before Golaud bursts in and strikes down Pelléas.

But such moments are rare. The paucity of this kind of music in the opera suggests that clarity of thought and feeling are rare in life, as rare as it is to understand truth, be it about fate, love, or merely sincerity and innocence. As in Diane au bois, nature gives us clues, as does Debussy’s music, more often than not remaining gently in the shadows and waiting for us to notice her. But truth, like Mélisande, can disappear and die before we grasp it. If the “intellect moyen” could not understand a thing, as Léon Kerst wrote in Le Petit Journal, Debussy did not object. He was looking to create a new ideal, one that used its women and their charms not as a metaphor for the social order or French identity in the world, but as a conduit to a new kind of music.
Truth and Beauty

The notion of associating women with truth was increasingly appealing during the last decade of the nineteenth century, and some endeavored through images of women to unite truth and beauty. As the Symbolist theorist Charles Morice put it in 1889, “Beauty is the dream of the truth.” Morice suggested that an analysis of the successive states of consciousness and the technique of suggestion could go further than any philosophy in unveiling internal truth and the mystery of destiny. This, to his mind, was the ultimate goal of poetry. If these ideas sound like Debussy’s, they should. Morice claimed Debussy told him he owed the formation of his thinking to Morice.

_Pelléas et Mélisande_ was premiered amid the fashion for Beauty with a capital B. Many critics of the time associated musical beauty with Gluck, whose _Iphigénie en Tauride_ was revived at the Opéra in May 1893. Gluck’s women are passive like Mélisande; they submit to fate, allowing the orchestra to comment on their meaning. But whereas Gluck endeavored to strip music of all “useless ornament,” Debussy concentrated on turning all music into ornament, that is, rethinking its structures as well as its melodies. Looking to Palestrina, Lassus, Bach, and Javanese counterpoint as his models, he believed the principle of ornament to be the basis of all art. The Belgian critic Octave Maus was perhaps the first to point this out in Debussy’s music. He described _Pelléas et Mélisande_ as “a melodic line whose rhythm is precise and supported by a succession of harmonies.” Debussy recognized that listeners sought emotions through melody. His great innovation was to conceive of all the elements of music—the textures, rhythms, and harmonies—as an arabesque in constant metamorphosis, a beauty announced by Mélisande’s long solo, but also synonymous with that of nature and the human soul. In this sense Mélisande’s solo on her hair harkens to something larger about music. Like Golaud and Pelléas in their musical response to Mélisande’s beauty, Arkel remarked, looking at her, “One has such a need for beauty alongside death.”

With Mélisande, then, while Debussy sensed the waning of the seductive model of musical meaning, he also explored new forms of musical charm serving as conduits to new notions of truth. At the Conservatoire he had learned how to write music that enchants, duos that express desire. But Maeterlinck’s play encouraged him to go beyond these conventions and look for charm in the unspoken or the unexpressed, in arrested moments and illusory questions, many of them remaining unanswered. He was drawn to not only the mystery of the instant, to quote Vladimir Jankélévitch, but also what I would call the charm of the instant, its immediacy punctuated by a silent orchestra. Like his predecessors he sought transparency between music and character, although he, like Maeterlinck, rejected strong, assertive women as emblems of France.
and as agents of French culture. The resemblances between Mélisande and Thomas’s Mignon or Ophelia are ironic, given Debussy’s disdain for the Conservatoire’s director. Yet they nonetheless create a kind of continuity in French musical culture, whether Debussy would acknowledge this or not. With Mélisande Debussy created music whose charm caresses without enchaining the listener to one meaning or another. Resonant stillness and silence open us to the vast impenetrable vistas of truth and bring us into an intimacy with the unknown—so fitting in the unstable cultural, social, and political climate of the modern era.
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An initial version of this paper was delivered at the Symposium on Debussy’s Pelléas et Mélisande, Center for European Studies, Harvard University, October 17, 2003.
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